DATE

## PAPER REVIEW FORM

REVIEWER PERSONAL DATA								
Name and Surname								
ORCID iD								
Academic title								
Organization								
PAPER TITLE								
EVALUATION OF THE PAPER								
(Click the cursor on the box in front of your answer!)								
The title of the paper corresponds to its content	□ YES	□ NO						
The paper needs modifications - text	☐ YES	□ NO						
reduction/extension (If YES, specify in the section Reviewer notes)								
The paper is easy to read and free from grammatical or spelling errors	☐ YES	□NO						
The abstract is concise and sufficient	☐ YES	□NO						
The research goals and questions are clearly defined	☐ YES	□ NO						
The research methodology is appropriate and consistent	☐ YES	□NO						
Conclusions are understandable and consistent	□ YES	□ NO						

1

Structure of the paper is coherent	□ UNACCEPTABLE □ ACCEPTABLE □ EXCELLENT				
The topic of the paper is relevant and timely	□ UNACCEPTABLE □ ACCEPTABLE □ EXCELLENT				
The paper is relevant in relation to the latest research findings	□ UNACCEPTABLE □ ACCEPTABLE □ EXCELLENT				
The author's knowledge of previous research on the same topic	☐ UNACCEPTABLE ☐ ACCEPTABLE ☐ EXCELLENT				
The author utilized relevant literature	□ UNACCEPTABLE □ ACCEPTABLE □ EXCELLENT				
Accuracy of terms, methods and terminology in the paper	□ UNACCEPTABLE □ ACCEPTABLE □ EXCELLENT				
The paper is based on rigorous academic standards	□ UNACCEPTABLE □ ACCEPTABLE □ EXCELLENT				
Pridržavanje preporučene metodologije časopisa	□ UNACCEPTABLE □ ACCEPTABLE □ EXCELLENT				
Writing style is clear and understandable	□ UNACCEPTABLE □ ACCEPTABLE □ EXCELLENT				
FINAL REVIEWER OPINION					
	FINAL REVIEWER OPINION				
Recommendation for publication	FINAL REVIEWER OPINION  Recommended Recommended with minor changes After revision, the paper should be reviewed again Propose the paper for publication in another journal Not recommended				
	<ul> <li>□ Recommended</li> <li>□ Recommended with minor changes</li> <li>□ After revision, the paper should be reviewed again</li> <li>□ Propose the paper for publication in another journal</li> </ul>				
for publication	<ul> <li>□ Recommended</li> <li>□ Recommended with minor changes</li> <li>□ After revision, the paper should be reviewed again</li> <li>□ Propose the paper for publication in another journal</li> <li>□ Not recommended</li> <li>□ Original scientific paper</li> <li>□ Preliminary communication</li> <li>□ Review</li> </ul>				

7

Reviewer notes			
Meviewel Hotes			
Date of review submission	Signature		

3